arXiv:1507.04100v1 [math.OC] 15 Jul 2015

A Semidiscrete Galerkin Scheme for Backward Stochastic Parabolic Differential Equations

Yanqing Wang*

July 16, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we present a numerical scheme to solve the initial-boundary value problem for backward stochastic partial differential equations of parabolic type. Based on the Galerkin method, we approximate the original equation by a family of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs, for short), and then solve these BSDEs by the time discretization. Combining the truncation with respect to the spatial variable and the backward Euler method on time variable, we obtain the global L^2 error estimate.

Key Words. backward stochastic parabolic differential equation, backward stochastic differential equation, Galerkin method, strong convergence.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60H15, 65M60.

1 Introduction

Let $T \in (0, +\infty)$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space and $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ be the natural filtration generalized by a 1-dimensional Wiener process $\{W(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ satisfying the usual conditions. The purpose of this work is to present a numerical scheme for solving the following backward stochastic parabolic differential equation (BSPDE, for short):

$$\begin{cases} dq(t,x) = (-\Delta q(t,x) + f(t,x,q(t,x),r(t,x)))dt + r(t,x)dW(t), & \text{in } [0,T) \times D, \\ q(t,x) = 0, & \text{on } [0,T) \times \partial D, \\ q(T,x) = q_T(x), & \text{in } D. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

---

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P. R. China. Part of this work was finished when the author was a Ph.D. student at the Key Laboratory of Systems and Control, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The author is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under grant 2011CB808002, by the NSF of China under grants 11231007 and 11101452, and by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under grants SWU113038 and XDJK2014C076. *E-mail:* `yqwang@amss.ac.cn`.

Here, $D \subset \mathbf{R}^d$ is a bounded domain with C^2 boundary ($d \in \mathbf{N}$), $\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \partial^2/\partial x_k^2$ is the Laplacian, and f and q_T are given data satisfying suitable conditions to be given later.

BSPDEs are nontrivial extensions of BSDEs, which possess interesting theoretical values and were originally introduced in the study of optimal control problems as the adjoint equation appeared in the Pontryagin maximum principle when the controlled system is a stochastic parabolic differential equation (see, e.g., [11, 15]), then are proved usefully in nonlinear filtering [20], mathematical finance [5] and so on.

The well-posedness of general BSPDEs driven by Wiener process has been considered in a number of papers using either duality techniques [15, 30] or martingale representation and fixed point arguments [12, 22].

In recent years, the study of numerical solutions to stochastic differential equations becomes an active topic, and has attracted considerable attention in many fields such as control theory and mathematical finance.

Up to now, some numerical schemes for the forward stochastic partial differential equations have been presented: the Galerkin approximation ([7]), the finite difference method in space and time (see, e.g., [8, 21]), the finite element method (see, e.g., [23, 26]), the stochastic Taylor expansion method (see, e.g., [13]), the Wiener chaos expansion method (see, e.g., [9]) and so on.

On the other hand, there are also several algorithms for solving BSDEs. First of all, based on the four step scheme [17], and using the relations between BSDEs and PDEs, Douglas et al. [4], Milstein and Tretyakov [19] obtained a numerical algorithm to solve BSDEs. The second kind of algorithms is the backward Euler method (see [1, 3, 6, 10, 29] for more details), for which the most difficult part is to calculate the conditional expectation. The third one is the random walk approach, in which the Brownian motion is replaced by a scaled random walk (see [16] and the references therein). We should also mention works by Wang and Zhang [24] presenting the finite transposition method, Lototsky et al. [14], Briand and Labart [2] giving the Wiener chaos expansion method and so on.

Compared with the development of numerical methods for BSDEs and forward stochastic partial differential equations, the study of numerical schemes for BSPDEs is quite limited. To the author's best knowledge, there exists no published work in this direction. Here we should mention the work of Yannacopoulos et al. [27], which only listed an idea on the numerical scheme for solving BSPDEs. Their method depends on the Wiener chaos expansion, however they did not prove the convergence speed.

The aim of this work is to provide a numerical scheme for solving Eq. (1.1) based on the semidiscrete Galerkin approximation. This scheme is divided into two steps. Firstly, we approximate Eq. (1.1) by a family of BSDEs; then, adopting the backward Euler method, we give numerical solutions to the related BSDEs. This work is an improved and complete version of [25, Chapter 5].

Compared to the Wiener chaos expansion method listed in [27], it seems that the Galerkin scheme is easier to operate, at least for some special cases. Indeed, if the domain is "good" enough and the eigenvalues, eigenfunctions are easy to be computed, we can take the finite-dimensional approximation spaces to be the ones spanned by suitable eigenfunctions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce our general setting and review the well-posedness of Eq. (1.1). In Section 3, we make use of the space-discretised Galerkin approximation to Eq. (1.1) and construct the desired finite-dimensional spaces;

then Eq. (1.1) is approximated by a family of BSDEs. We prove the strong convergence in appropriate spaces and obtain the rate of the convergence in the L^2 norm with respect to the space variable. In Section 4, we adopt the backward Euler method, for which the convergence and error analysis are also provided.

2 Preliminaries

Let H be a Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_H$ and inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_H$. The following classes of processes will be frequently used throughout this paper:

- For any $t\in[0,T]$, $L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\Omega;H)$ is the space of all $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable, H-valued random variables ξ satisfying $\|\xi\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\Omega;H)}=\mathbb{E}\|\xi\|^2_H<\infty$;
- $C_{\mathbb{F}}([0,T];L^2(\Omega;H))$ is the space of all $\mathbb{F}$-adapted, continuous, H-valued stochastic processes X satisfying $\|X\|^2_{C_{\mathbb{F}}([0,T];L^2(\Omega;H))}=\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}\|X(t)\|^2_H<\infty$; $L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega;C([0,T];H))$ is the subspace of $C_{\mathbb{F}}([0,T];L^2(\Omega;H))$ such that $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|X(t)\|^2_H)<\infty$;
- $L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H)$ is the space of all $\mathbb{F}$-adapted, H-valued stochastic processes Y satisfying $\|Y\|^2_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H)}=\mathbb{E}(\int_0^T\|Y(t)\|^2_H dt)<\infty$;
- $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}(H)\,(k,\,p\geq 1)$ is the space of all $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable, $H^{\otimes k}$-valued, k-times Malliavin differentiable random variables ξ satisfying

  $$\|\xi\|_{k,p}:=\Big(\mathbb{E}(|\xi|^p)+\sum_{j=1}^k\mathbb{E}(\|D^j\xi\|^p_{H^{\otimes j}})\Big)^{1/p}<+\infty;$$

- $M^{2,p}(p\geq 2)$ is the space of all $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable square integrable random variables ξ which admits a stochastic integral representation:

  $$\xi=\mathbb{E}\xi+\int_0^T u(t)dW(t),$$

  where $u(\cdot)$ is a progresively measurable process satisfying $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\mathbb{E}|u(t)|^p<+\infty$;
- $\mathbb{L}^{1,2}_a(H)$ is the space of all H-valued progressively measurable processes $\{u(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ satisfying
  - **(i)** For almost all $t\in[0,T]$, $u(t)\in\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(H)$;
  - **(ii)** $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^T|u(t)|^2dt+\int_0^T\int_0^T|D_\theta u(t)|^2d\theta dt)<\infty$.

Besides, denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ respectively the inner product and norm in different Euclidean spaces, which can be identified from the context; by A^* the transport matrix of A.

Let us introduce the following two assumptions:

(A1) *$f:\mathbf{R}^+\times D\times\mathbf{R}^d\times\mathbf{R}^d\longrightarrow\mathbf{R}^d$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder continuous with respect to t, i.e., there exists a positive constant L, such that $|f(t_1,x,y,z)-f(t_2,x,y,z)|\leq L\sqrt{|t_1-t_2|}$, for* *any t_1, $t_2 \in \mathbf{R}^+$, $x \in D$, $y, z \in \mathbf{R}^d$; and has continuous and uniformly bounded first and second partial derivatives with respect to y and z (still denote this bound by L). Moreover, $f(\cdot, \cdot, 0, 0) \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(D))$.*

(A2) $q_T \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; H_0^1(D)) \cap \mathbb{D}^{2,q}(L^2(D))$,

$$\mathbb{E}|D_\theta q_T - D_{\theta'} q_T| \leq M|\theta - \theta'|,$$

$$\max\Big\{\mathbb{E}|q_T|^q_{L^2(D)}, \sup_{\theta\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|D_\theta q_T|^q, \sup_{\theta'\in[0,T]}\sup_{\theta\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|D_{\theta'} D_\theta q_T|^q\Big\} \leq M,$$

where $q > 4$ and M is a positive constant.

Define $A: D(A) = H^2(D) \cap H_0^1(D) \longrightarrow L^2(D)$ by $Af = \Delta f$, for all $f \in D(A)$. It is easy to show that A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0-semigroup $\{e^{At}\}_{t\geq 0}$ on $L^2(D)$. Then Eq. (1.1) can be re-writen as the following abstract form:

$$\begin{cases} dq(t) = \big(-Aq(t) + f(t, \cdot, q(t), r(t))\big)dt + r(t)dW(t), \quad t \in [0, T), \\ q(T) = q_T. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Now, we recall the definition of solution to Eq. (2.1) and its well-posedness. We refer the reader to [12, 22] for details.

Definition 2.1. *A pair of random fields $(q, r) \in C_{\mathbb{F}}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; L^2(D))) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega \times (0, T); L^2(D))$ is called a mild solution to Eq. (2.1), if for every $t \in [0, T]$, it holds that*

$$q(t) + \int_t^T e^{A(s-t)} f(s, \cdot, q(s), r(s))ds + \int_t^T e^{A(s-t)} r(s)dW(s) = e^{A(T-t)} q_T, \text{ a.s.}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that (**A1**) holds and the terminal condition $q_T \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; L^2(D))$. Then Eq. (2.1) admits a unique mild solution $(q, r) \in C_{\mathbb{F}}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; L^2(D))) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega \times (0, T); L^2(D))$. In particular, for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\|q(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|r(s)\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds \leq C\Big\{\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|f(s, \cdot, 0, 0)\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds + \mathbb{E}\|q_T\|^2_{L^2(D)}\Big\},$$

where C depends only on A, T and L. Furthermore, if $q_T \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; H_0^1(D))$, then

$$(q, r) \in \big(L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega \times (0, T); H^2(D) \cap H_0^1(D)) \cap L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^2(D)))\big) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega \times (0, T); H_0^1(D)),$$

and the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|q(t)\|^2_{H_0^1(D)}\big) + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|q(s)\|^2_{H^2(D)} + \|r(s)\|^2_{H_0^1(D)} ds \\ \leq & C\Big\{\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|f(s, \cdot, 0, 0)\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds + \mathbb{E}\|q_T\|^2_{H_0^1(D)}\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma provides a standard but useful estimate on the solution to stochastic differential equations (SDEs, for short). We list it for ready references.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* $x(\cdot)$ *solve the following SDE:*

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = \big(A(t)x(t) + f(t)\big)dt + \big(B(t)x(t) + g(t)\big)dW(t), \quad t \in [0,T), \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where A, B *are bounded,* $f(\cdot) \in L^p_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; L^1((0,T); \mathbf{R}^n))$ *and* $g(\cdot) \in L^p_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; L^2((0,T); \mathbf{R}^n))$. *Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |x(t)|^p \le C\Big[\mathbb{E}|x_0|^p + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^T |f(t)|dt\Big)^p + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^T |g(t)|^2 dt\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big],$$

where C *is a constant depending only on* A, B.

3 Approximating BSPDE by BSDEs

Let $\{(-\lambda_i, \phi_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of A, where $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ constitutes an orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$. $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is also an orthogonal basis of $H_0^1(D)$. Take the subspace $S_n = \mathrm{span}\{\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Denote by P_n the projection of $L^2(D)$ onto S_n and define A_n by $A_n = P_n A|_{S_n}$.

The semidiscrete problem corresponding to Eq. (2.1) is to find a process pair $(q_n, r_n) \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C([0,T]; S_n)) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega \times (0,T); S_n)$ solving the following BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dq_n(t) = \big(-A_n q_n(t) + P_n f(t, \cdot, q_n(t), r_n(t))\big)dt + r_n(t)dW(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ q_n(T) = P_n q_T. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

In the next theorem, we prove that (q_n, r_n) is convergent to (q, r) and obtain the rate of convergence with respect to the space variable.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that* (**A1**) *holds and* $q_T \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; H_0^1(D))$. *Let* (q, r), (q_n, r_n) *be solutions to Eq. (2.1) and (3.1), respectively. Then the following estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|q(t) - q_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|q(t) - q_n(t)\|^2_{H_0^1(D)} + \|r(t) - r_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} dt \\ \le& \frac{C}{\lambda_{n+1}}\Big[\|q(T)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; H_0^1(D))} + \|f(\cdot, 0, 0)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega \times (0,T); H_0^1(D))}\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where C *is a constant depending only on* T, L *and* D.

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. Applying Itô's formula to $\langle q - q_n, q - q_n \rangle_{L^2(D)}$, for any $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|q(T) - q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)} - \|q(t) - q_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} \\ =& -2\int_t^T \langle Aq - A_n q_n, q - q_n \rangle_{L^2(D)} ds + 2\int_t^T \langle f(q,r) - P_n f(q_n, r_n), q - q_n \rangle_{L^2(D)} ds \\ &+ 2\int_t^T \langle q - q_n, r - r_n \rangle_{L^2(D)} dW(s) + \int_t^T \|r - r_n\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=& -2\int_t^T \langle\, A(q-q_n), q-q_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} ds + 2\int_t^T \langle\, f(q,r) - P_n f(q_n,r_n), q-q_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} ds \\
&+ 2\int_t^T \langle\, q-q_n, r-r_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} dW(s) + \int_t^T \|r-r_n\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking expectation in (3.3), using assumption **(A1)** we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\|q(t)-q_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|r-r_n\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds - 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle\, A(q-q_n), q-q_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} ds \\
=&\mathbb{E}\|q(T)-q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)} - 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle\, f(q,r) - P_n f(q_n,r_n), q-q_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} ds \\
=&\mathbb{E}\|q(T)-q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)} - 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle\, f(s,q,r) - P_n f(s,q,r), q-q_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} ds \\
&- 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle\, P_n f(s,q,r) - P_n f(s,q_n,r), q-q_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} ds \\
&- 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle\, P_n f(s,q_n,r) - P_n f(s,q_n,r_n), q-q_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} ds \\
\le&\mathbb{E}\|q(T)-q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + 2L\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)q\|_{L^2(D)}\|q-q_n\|_{L^2(D)} ds \\
&+ 2L\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)r\|_{L^2(D)}\|q-q_n\|_{L^2(D)} ds \\
&+ 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)f(s,0,0)\|_{L^2(D)}\|q-q_n\|_{L^2(D)} ds \\
&+ \mathbb{E}\int_t^T (2L+1)\|q-q_n\|^2_{L^2(D)} + \|r-r_n\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds \\
\le& TL\max_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}\|(I-P_n)q(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + L\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)r(s)\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds \\
&+ \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)f(s,0,0)\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds + (4L+2)\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|q-q_n\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds \\
&\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|r-r_n\|^2_{L^2(D)} ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

Since for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$
-2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \langle\, A(q-q_n), q-q_n \,\rangle_{L^2(D)} ds \ge 0,
$$

by Gronwall's inequality, one can easily check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\|q(t)-q_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} \\
\le& e^{(2L+1)T}\Big[\mathbb{E}\|q(T)-q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + TL\max_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}\|(I-P_n)q(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} \\
&+ L\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|(I-P_n)r(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} dt + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|(I-P_n)f(t,0,0)\|^2_{L^2(D)} dt\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

Set $q(\cdot)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mu_j(\cdot)\phi_j$. Then $q_n(\cdot)=P_nq(\cdot)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mu_j(\cdot)\phi_j$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\|q(\cdot)-q_n(\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(D)}=\mathbb{E}\|(I-P_n)q(\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(D)}=\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}|\mu_i(\cdot)|^2\le\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\mathbb{E}|\mu_i(\cdot)|^2\\ \le&\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\mathbb{E}|\mu_i(\cdot)|^2\le\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\mathbb{E}\|q(\cdot)\|^2_{H_0^1(D)}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\|q(\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_\cdot}(\Omega;H_0^1(D))}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\|(I-P_n)r(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)}dt\le\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\|r(t)\|^2_{H_0^1(D)}dt,\\ \mathbb{E}\int_0^T\|(I-P_n)f(t,0,0)\|^2_{L^2(D)}dt\le\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\|f(t,0,0)\|^2_{H_0^1(D)}dt.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

From (3.4)–(3.7) and Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}\|q(t)-q_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)}\\ \le&\frac{e^{3(2L+1)T}}{\lambda_{n+1}}\Big[\|q(T)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega;H_0^1(D))}+TL\max_{t\in[0,T]}\|q(t)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\Omega;H_0^1(D))}\\ &\qquad+L\|r\|^2_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H_0^1(D))}+\|f(\cdot,0,0)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H_0^1(D))}\Big]\\ \le&\frac{C}{\lambda_{n+1}}\Big[\|q(T)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega;H_0^1(D))}+\|f(\cdot,0,0)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H_0^1(D))}\Big],\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where C is a constant independently on λ_n. By the same argument, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\|r(t)-r_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)}dt-2\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\langle\, A(q-q_n)(t),(q-q_n)(t)\,\rangle_{L^2(D)}dt\\ \le&\frac{C}{\lambda_{n+1}}\Big[\|q(T)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega;H_0^1(D))}+\|f(\cdot,0,0)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H_0^1(D))}\Big].\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Step 2. Using (3.3) once more and from similar proceeding as that in Step 1, by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|q(t)-q_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)}\\ =&\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Big\{\|q(T)-q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)}+2\int_t^T\langle\, A(q-q_n),q-q_n\,\rangle_{L^2(D)}ds\\ &-2\int_t^T\langle\, f(q,r)-P_nf(q_n,r_n),q-q_n\,\rangle_{L^2(D)}ds\\ &-2\int_t^T\langle\, q-q_n,r-r_n\,\rangle_{L^2(D)}dW(s)-\int_t^T\|r-r_n\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds\Big\}\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \mathbb{E}\|q(T)-q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + TL \max_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\|(I-P_n)q(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} \\
& + L\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)r(s)\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)f(s,0,0)\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds \\
& + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T (4L+2)\|q-q_n\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds + 2\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_t^T \langle\, q-q_n, r-r_n\,\rangle_{L^2(D)}dW(s) \\
\leq & \mathbb{E}\|q(T)-q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + TL \max_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\|(I-P_n)q(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} \\
& + L\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)r(s)\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)f(s,0,0)\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds \\
& + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T (4L+2)\|q-q_n\|^2_{L^2(D)} + 6\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^T \langle\, q-q_n, r-r_n\,\rangle^2_{L^2(D)}dt\Big]^{1/2} \\
\leq & \mathbb{E}\|q(T)-q_n(T)\|^2_{L^2(D)} + TL \max_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\|(I-P_n)q(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} \\
& + L\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)r(s)\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|(I-P_n)f(s,0,0)\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds \\
& + (4L+2)\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|q-q_n\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds + 18\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \|r-r_n\|^2_{L^2(D)}ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|q(t)-q_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.6)–(3.10) and Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|q(t)-q_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(D)} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_{n+1}}\Big[\|q(T)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega;H^1_0(D))} + \|f(\cdot,0,0)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H^1_0(D))}\Big],
$$

where C depends only on T, L and D. This completes the proof. ▮

Remark 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, applying the results in [22, Section 4.1], we can prove a better convergence result than that in Theorem 3.1, i.e.,*

$$
(q_n,\, r_n) \longrightarrow (q,\, r) \quad in \quad L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H^2(D)) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H^1(D)).
$$

However, the convergence speed (given in Theorem 3.1) cannot be improved.

4 Approximation for BSDE

In this section, we apply the backward Euler scheme to solve Eq. (3.1). We borrow some idea from [10, 29].

Since $S_n = \operatorname{span}\{\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_n\}$, and the solution (q_n, r_n) to Eq. (3.1) is in $L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);S_n)\times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);S_n)$, we may take (q_n,r_n) to be the following form

$$
q_n(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{n,j}(\cdot)\phi_j, \quad r_n(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{n,j}(\cdot)\phi_j, \tag{4.1}
$$

where $\alpha_{n,j} \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C([0,T];\mathbf{R}))$ and $\beta_{n,j} \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega \times (0,T);\mathbf{R})$. Set

$$\alpha_n(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,1}(\cdot) \\ \alpha_{n,2}(\cdot) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n,n}(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta_n(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{n,1}(\cdot) \\ \beta_{n,2}(\cdot) \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{n,n}(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and $f_n(\cdot, \alpha_n(\cdot), \beta_n(\cdot)) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle f(\cdot, q_n(\cdot), r_n(\cdot)), \phi_1 \rangle_{L^2(D)} \\ \langle f(\cdot, q_n(\cdot), r_n(\cdot)), \phi_2 \rangle_{L^2(D)} \\ \vdots \\ \langle f(\cdot, q_n(\cdot), r_n(\cdot)), \phi_n \rangle_{L^2(D)} \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $(\alpha_n(\cdot), \beta_n(\cdot))$ solves the following BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_n(t) = \big(\Lambda\alpha_n(t) + f_n(t, \alpha_n(t), \beta_n(t))\big)dt + \beta_n(t)dW(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ \alpha_n(T) = \alpha_{nT} := \big(\langle q_T, \phi_1 \rangle_{L^2(D)}, \langle q_T, \phi_2 \rangle_{L^2(D)}, \cdots, \langle q_T, \phi_n \rangle_{L^2(D)}\big)^*. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Before presenting the main theorem, we study the regularity of $\alpha_n(\cdot)$ and $\beta_n(\cdot)$. In the sequel, for simplicity, we write $\partial_y f_n(\cdot, \alpha_n(\cdot), \beta_n(\cdot))$, $\partial_z f_n(\cdot, \alpha_n(\cdot), \beta_n(\cdot))$ by $\partial_y f_n(\cdot)$, $\partial_z f_n(\cdot)$ respectively. Similarly, we may use the notations $\partial_{yy} f_n(\cdot)$, $\partial_{yz} f_n(\cdot)$ and $\partial_{zz} f_n(\cdot)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* (**A1**) *and* (**A2**) *hold. Then, for any* $t, s \in [0,T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t) - \alpha_n(s)|^2 \leq C(1 + \lambda_n^2|t-s|)|t-s|,$$

where C is a constant depending only on q, T, L and M.

Proof. Under the assumptions on q_T and f, by [10, Theorem 2.6], (α_n, β_n) is in $\mathbb{L}^{1,2}_a(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Besides, the Malliavin derivative $(D_\theta\alpha_n, D_\theta\beta_n)$ of the solution pair solves the following BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} D_\theta\alpha_n(T) - D_\theta\alpha_n(t) = \displaystyle\int_t^T \big(\Lambda D_\theta\alpha_n(s) + \partial_y f_n(s) D_\theta\alpha_n(s) + \partial_z f_n(s) D_\theta\beta_n(s)\big) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + \displaystyle\int_t^T D_\theta\beta_n(s)dW(s), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq t \leq T; \\ D_\theta\alpha_n(t) = D_\theta\beta_n(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < \theta \leq T. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover, $\beta_n(\cdot)$ can be represented by $D_\cdot\alpha_n(\cdot)$. Furthermore,

$$\sup_{0\leq\theta\leq T} \mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta\leq t\leq T} |D_\theta\alpha_n(t)|^q + \mathbb{E}\bigg(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta\beta_n(t)|^2 dt\bigg)^{q/2} \leq C\mathbb{E}|D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|^q, \tag{4.4}$$

where C depends only on q, T and L.

By above inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\beta_n(t)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|D_t\alpha_n(t)|^2 \leq \big(\mathbb{E}|D_t\alpha_n(t)|^q\big)^{2/q} \leq C\big(\mathbb{E}|D_t\alpha_n(T)|^q\big)^{2/q}, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0,T]. \tag{4.5}$$

By (4.2), we also know that

$$\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t)|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|\beta_n(s)|^2ds\le C\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(T)|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|f_n(s,0,0)|^2ds\Big). \tag{4.6}$$

Here C is a constant depending only on L and T. By virtue of (4.5) and (4.6), for $0\le s\le t\le T$, we can easily have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t)-\alpha_n(s)|^2=\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_s^t(\Lambda\alpha_n(u)+f_n(u,\alpha_n(u),\beta_n(u))du+\int_s^t\beta_n(u)dW(u))\Big|^2\\
\le&\Big(4\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\lambda_n^2|\alpha_n(u)|^2du+16L\int_s^t(|\alpha_n(u)|^2+|\beta_n(u)|^2)du+8\mathbb{E}\int_s^t f_n(u,0,0)|^2du\Big)(t-s)\\
&\quad+2\mathbb{E}\int_s^t\beta_n^2(u)du\\
\le&\big(4\lambda_n^2(t-s)+16L(t-s+1)+8\big)C\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(T)|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|f_n(s,0,0)|^2ds\Big)(t-s)\\
&\quad+2C\mathbb{E}|D_t\alpha_n(T)|^2(t-s)\\
\le&C(1+\lambda_n^2(t-s))(t-s),
\end{aligned}$$

where C depends only on q, L, T and M. This completes the proof. ∎

The following lemma is about the regularity of $\beta_n(\cdot)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* (**A1**) *and* (**A2**) *hold. Then, for any* $t,s\in[0,T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n(s)|^2\le Ce^{\lambda_n|t-s|}|t-s|(\lambda_n^2|t-s|+1), \tag{4.7}$$

where C is a constant depending only on q, T, L and M.

We need the following lemma to prove the above result.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* (**A1**) *and* (**A2**) *hold, and* $\{\Psi\}_{0\le t\le T}$ *and* $\{\Phi\}_{0\le t\le T}$ *which solve the following SDEs*

$$\begin{cases}d\Psi(t)=-\Psi(t)\big(\Lambda+\partial_yf_n(t)\big)dt-\Psi(t)\partial_zf_n(t)dW(t),\quad t\in[0,T),\\ \Psi(0)=I_n\end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}d\Phi(t)=\big(\Lambda+\partial_yf_n(t)+\big(\partial_yf_n(t)\big)^2\big)\Phi(t)dt+\partial_zf_n(t)\Phi(t)dW(t),\quad t\in[0,T),\\ \Phi(0)=I_n,\end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

respectively. Then, for any $p\ge2$,

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\Psi(t)|^p\le C,\quad \mathbb{E}\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\Phi(t)|^p\le Ce^{\lambda_nT}; \tag{4.10}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\big|\sup_{s\le t\le T}\Phi(s)\Psi(t)\big|^p\le C,\quad \mathbb{E}|\Phi(t)\Psi(s)|^p\le Ce^{\lambda_n|t-s|}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\mathbb{E}|(\Phi(t)-\Phi(s))\Psi(T)|^p\le Ce^{\lambda_n|t-s|}|t-s|^{\frac{p}{2}}(\lambda_n^p|t-s|^{\frac{p}{2}}+1), \tag{4.12}$$

where C depends only on p, L and T.

Proof. First of all, for any $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, set $x(\cdot) = \Psi^*(\cdot)x_0$. Then $x(\cdot)$ solves the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = \big(-\Lambda - \partial_y f_n(t)\big)^* x(t)dt - \partial_z f_n^*(t)x(t)dW(t), \quad t \in [0,T), \\ x(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

By Itô's formula, one can have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|x(t)|^p - |x(0)|^p \\
=&\int_0^t p|x|^{p-2} \left\langle\, x, (-\Lambda - \partial_y f_n^* x \,\right\rangle ds + \int_0^t p|x|^{p-2} \left\langle\, x, -\partial_z f_n^* x \,\right\rangle dW(s) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t x^* \partial_z f_n \partial_{xx}|x|^p \partial_z f_n^* x ds \\
\leq& \int_0^t p|x|^{p-2} \left\langle\, x, -\partial_y f_n(t)^* x \,\right\rangle ds + \int_0^t p|x|^{p-2} \left\langle\, x, -\partial_z f_n^* x \,\right\rangle dW(s) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t x^* \partial_z f_n \partial_{xx}|x|^p \partial_z f_n^* x ds \\
\leq& C\int_0^t |x|^p ds - p\int_0^t |x|^{p-2} \left\langle\, x, -\partial_z f_n^* x \,\right\rangle dW(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |x(t)|^p \leq C|x_0|^p;$$

therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |\Psi(t)|^p = \sup_{x_0\in\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|x(t)|^p}{|x_0|^p} \leq C$$

here C depends only on p, L and T. Similarly, one can prove $\mathbb{E} \sup\limits_{0\leq t\leq T} |\Phi(t)|^p \leq Ce^{\lambda_n T}$, and then (4.10) is proved.

Next, we only prove the second inequality of (4.11). The first one can be proved with the similar procedure. For any $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, set $x_s(t) = \Phi(t)\Psi(s)x_0$. Then $x_s(t)$ solves the following stochastic differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} dx_s(t) = \big(\Lambda + \partial_y f_n + (\partial_y f_n)^2\big)x_s(t)dt + \partial_z f_n x_s(t)dW(t), \quad t \in [s,T), \\ x_s(s) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

By Itô's formula, one can have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|x_s(t)|^p - |x_s(s)|^p \\
=&\int_s^t p|x_s(\tau)|^{p-2} \left\langle\, x_s(\tau), (\Lambda\partial_y f_n(\tau) + (\partial_y f_n(\tau))^2)x_s(\tau) \,\right\rangle d\tau \\
&+ \int_s^t p|x_s(\tau)|^{p-2} \left\langle\, x_s(\tau), \partial_z f_n x_s(\tau) \,\right\rangle dW(\tau) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int_s^t x_s(\tau)^* \partial_z f_n^* \partial_{xx}|x_s(\tau)|^p \partial_z f_n x_s(\tau) d\tau \\
\leq& C(\lambda_n + 1)\int_s^t |x_s(\tau)|^p d\tau + C\int_s^t |x_s(\tau)|^{p-2} \left\langle\, x_s(\tau), \partial_z f_n x_s(\tau) \,\right\rangle dW(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by Gronwall's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}|\Phi(t)\Psi(s)x_0|^p = \mathbb{E}|x_s(t)|^p \le Ce^{\lambda_n(t-s)}|x_0|^p,$$

where C depends only on p, L.

Finally, by Eq. (4.9), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}|(\Phi(t)-\Phi(s))\Psi(T)|^p\\
=&\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_s^t(\Lambda+\partial_y f_n(\tau)+(\partial_y f_n(\tau))^2)\Phi(\tau)d\tau\Psi(T)+\int_s^t\partial_z f_n(\tau)\Phi(\tau)dW(\tau)\Psi(T)\Big|^p\\
\le& C\lambda_n^p\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_s^t\big|\Phi(\tau)\Psi(T)\big|d\tau\Big)^p+C\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_s^t\big|\Phi(\tau)\Psi(T)\big|d\tau\Big)^p\\
&\quad+C\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_s^t\partial_z f_n(\tau)\Phi(\tau)dW(\tau)\Psi(T)\Big|^p\\
\le& C(\lambda_n^p+1)\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_s^t\big|\Phi(\tau)\Psi(T)\big|d\tau\Big)^p+C\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_s^t\partial_z f_n(\tau)\Phi(\tau)dW(\tau)\Psi(T)\Big|^p\\
:=&C(\lambda_n^p+1)J_1+CJ_2.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

For J_1, by (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1\le&\mathbb{E}\int_s^t|\Phi(\tau)\Psi(T)|^pd\tau\Big(\int_s^t 1d\tau\Big)^{\frac{p}{q}}=\int_s^t\mathbb{E}|\Phi(\tau)\Psi(T)|^pd\tau(t-s)^{\frac{p}{q}}\\
\le&C(t-s)^{1+\frac{p}{q}}=C(t-s)^p,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

where C depends only on p, L and T.

For J_2, by (4.11), Hölder's inequality and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we also can obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2=&\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_s^t\partial_z f_n(\tau)\Phi(\tau)dW(\tau)\Psi(T)\Big|^p=\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_s^t\partial_z f_n(\tau)\Phi(\tau)\Psi(s)dW(\tau)\Phi(s)\Psi(T)\Big|^p\\
\le&\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_s^t\partial_z f_n(\tau)\Phi(\tau)\Psi(s)dW(\tau)\Big|^{2p}\Big)^{1/2}\big(\mathbb{E}|\Phi(s)\Psi(T)|^{2p}\big)^{1/2}\\
\le&C\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_s^t|\Phi(\tau)\Psi(s)|^2dW(\tau)\Big)^p\Big)^{1/2}\big(\mathbb{E}|\Phi(s)\Psi(T)|^{2p}\big)^{1/2}\\
\le&C\Big\{\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(\int_s^t 1d\tau\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\Big(\int_s^t|\Phi(\tau)\Psi(s)|^{2p}d\tau\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big]^p\Big\}^{1/2}\big(\mathbb{E}|\Phi(s)\Psi(T)|^{2p}\big)^{1/2}\\
\le&C\Big\{(t-s)^{\frac{p}{q}}\int_s^t\mathbb{E}|\Phi(\tau)\Psi(s)|^{2p}d\tau\Big\}^{1/2}\big(\mathbb{E}|\Phi(s)\Psi(T)|^{2p}\big)^{1/2}\\
\le&C\Big\{(t-s)^{\frac{p}{q}}\Big[e^{\lambda_n(t-s)}(t-s)\Big]\Big\}^{1/2}\\
\le&Ce^{\lambda_n(t-s)}(t-s)^{\frac{p}{2}},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

where C depends only on p, L. Combining (4.13)-(4.15), we have (4.12). ∎

We are now in a position to prove Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. Since $\beta_n(\cdot)$ has the representation $\beta_n(t) = D_t\alpha_n(t)$, a.s. , a.e. $t \in [0,T]$, we have

$$\beta_n(t) - \beta_n(s) = (D_t\alpha_n(t) - D_s\alpha_n(t)) + (D_s\alpha_n(t) - D_s\alpha_n(s)). \tag{4.16}$$

By Eq. (4.3), for any θ, $\theta' \in [0,t]$, by Itô's formula, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}|D_\theta\alpha_n(t) - D_{\theta'}\alpha_n(t)|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T |D_\theta\beta_n(s) - D_{\theta'}\beta_n(s)|^2 ds \\
=&\mathbb{E}|D_\theta\alpha_n(T) - D_{\theta'}\alpha_n(T)|^2 - 2\int_t^T \big(\langle D_\theta\alpha_n(s) - D_{\theta'}\alpha_n(s), \Lambda(D_\theta\alpha_n(s) - D_{\theta'}\alpha_n(s))\rangle \\
&+ \langle D_\theta\alpha_n(s) - D_{\theta'}\alpha_n(s), \partial_y f_n(s)(D_\theta\alpha_n(s) - D_{\theta'}\alpha_n(s)) + \partial_z f_n(s)(D_\theta\beta_n(s) - D_{\theta'}\beta_n(s))\big) ds \\
\leq&\mathbb{E}|D_\theta\alpha_n(T) - D_{\theta'}\alpha_n(T)|^2 + C\int_t^T |D_\theta\alpha_n(s) - D_{\theta'}\alpha_n(s)|^2 ds \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int_t^T |D_\theta\beta_n(s) - D_{\theta'}\beta_n(s)|^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of n. Here, we apply the fact that Λ is positive and $\partial_y f_n(\cdot)$, $\partial_z f_n(\cdot)$ are bounded. Therefore, setting $\theta = t$, $\theta' = s$, by Gronwall's inequality and (**A2**) , we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}|D_t\alpha_n(t) - D_s\alpha_n(t)|^2 \leq C\mathbb{E}|D_t\alpha_n(T) - D_s\alpha_n(T)|^2 \leq C|t-s|, \tag{4.17}$$

where C is independent of n.

For $\{\Psi(t)\}_{0\leq t\leq T}$ and $\{\Phi(t)\}_{0\leq t\leq T}$ introduced in (4.8) and (4.9), from [28, Theorem 6.14], $\Psi(t)\Phi(t) = I_n$, a.e. $t \in [0,T]$. By virtue of $\Psi(\cdot)$ and $\Phi(\cdot)$, we can list a representation of $D_\theta\alpha(\cdot)$. By Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(\Psi(t)D_\theta\alpha(t)) \\
=&-\Psi(t)(\Lambda + \partial_y f_n(t))D_\theta\alpha_n(t)dt - \Psi(t)\partial_z f_n(t)D_\theta\alpha_n(t)dW(t) \\
&+ \Psi(t)(\Lambda + \partial_y f_n(t))D_\theta\alpha_n(t)dt + \Psi(t)\partial_z f_n(t)D_\theta\beta_n(t)dt \\
&+ \Psi(t)D_\theta\beta_n(t)dW(t) - \Psi(t)\partial_z f_n(t)D_\theta\beta_n(t)dt \\
=&\Psi(t)\big(D_\theta\beta_n - \partial_z f_n(t)D_\theta\alpha_n(t)\big)dW(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_\theta\alpha(t)) \\
=&\Phi(t)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T) - \Phi(t)\int_t^T \Psi(s)(D_\theta\beta_n(s) - \partial_z f_n(s)D_\theta\alpha_n(s))dW(s) \\
=&\mathbb{E}(\Phi(t)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_t),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|D_\theta\alpha_n(t)-D_\theta\alpha_n(s)|^2\\=&\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\big(\Phi(t)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_t\big)-\mathbb{E}(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_s)\Big|^2\\\le&2\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\big((\Phi(t)-\Phi(s))\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_t\big)\Big|^2\\&+2\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\big(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_t\big)-\mathbb{E}\big(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_s\big)\Big|^2\\\le&2\mathbb{E}\Big|(\Phi(t)-\Phi(s))\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)\Big|^2\\&+2\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\big(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_t\big)-\mathbb{E}\big(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_s\big)\Big|^2\\:=&2(I_1+I_2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

By Hölder's inequality, **(A2)** and (4.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_1\le&\big(\mathbb{E}|D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|^q\big)^{2/q}\big(\mathbb{E}(\Phi(t)-\Phi(s))\Psi(T)|^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}\big)^{\frac{q-2}{q}}\\\le&Ce^{\lambda_n|t-s|}|t-s|(\lambda_n^2|t-s|+1),\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

where C depends only on q, L, T and M.

We claim that for any $0\le\theta,\ s\le T$,

$$\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)\in M^{2,2},\tag{4.20}$$

i.e., $\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)$ has a representation:

$$\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)=\mathbb{E}(\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T))+\int_0^T u_{\theta,s}(t)dW(t),$$

and $\sup_{\theta,s}\mathbb{E}|u_{\theta,s}(t)|^2\le C$, where C depends only on q, L, T and M.

If (4.20) is true, then

$$\begin{aligned}I_2=&\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_t)-\mathbb{E}(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|\mathcal{F}_s)\Big|^2\\=&\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_s^t u_{\theta,s}(\tau)dW(\tau)\Big|^2=\mathbb{E}\int_s^t|u_{\theta,s}(\tau)|^2d\tau\\\le&C|t-s|,\end{aligned}$$

where C depends only on q, L, T and M. Combining the above inequality and (4.16)-(4.19) results in (4.7).

Now we prove (4.20). Indeed, For any $0\le\theta,\ s\le t\le T$, $D_\theta(\Phi(s)\Psi(\cdot))$ satisfies the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases}dD_\theta(\Phi(s)\Psi(t))=D_\theta(\Phi(s)\Psi(t))\big(-\Lambda-\partial_yf_n(t)\big)dt-D_\theta(\Phi(s)\Psi(t))\partial_zf_n(t)dW(t)\\\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad-(\Phi(s)\Psi(t))(\partial_{yy}f_n(t)D_\theta\alpha_n(t)+\partial_{yz}f_n(t)D_\theta\beta_n(t))dt\\\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad-(\Phi(s)\Psi(t))(\partial_{yz}f_n(t)D_\theta\alpha_n(t)+\partial_{zz}f_n(t)D_\theta\beta_n(t))dW(s),\quad\theta\le t\le T,\\D_\theta(\Phi(s)\Psi(\theta))=0,\\D_\theta(\Phi(s)\Psi(t))=0,\quad 0\le t<\theta.\end{cases}$$

For any $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, set $x_{\theta,s}(\cdot) = D_\theta(\Psi^*(\cdot)\Phi^*(s))x_0$ and $y_s(\cdot) = \Psi^*(\cdot)\Phi^*(s)x_0$. Then $x_{\theta,s}(\cdot)$ satisfies the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dx_{\theta,s}(t) = \big(-\Lambda - \partial_y f_n^*(t)\big)x_{\theta,s}(t)dt - \partial_z f_n^*(t)x_{\theta,s}(t)dW(t) \\ \qquad\qquad - (D_\theta\alpha_n^*(t)\partial_{yy}f_n^*(t) + D_\theta\beta_n^*(t)\partial_{yz}f_n^*(t))y_s(t)dt \\ \qquad\qquad - (D_\theta\alpha_n^*(t)\partial_{yz}f_n^*(t) + D_\theta\beta_n^*(t)\partial_{zz}f_n^*(t))y_s(t)dW(t), \quad \theta \le t \le T, \\ x_{\theta,s}(\theta) = 0, \\ x_{\theta,s}(t) = 0, \quad 0 \le t < \theta \le T. \end{cases}$$

For any $p \in [2,\, q)$, by Lemma 2.1 and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\sup_{\theta\le t\le T}|D_\theta(\Psi^*(t)\Phi^*(s))x_0|^p \\ \le& C\bigg[\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\theta^T |(D_\theta\alpha_n^*(t)\partial_{yy}f_n^*(t) + D_\theta\beta_n^*(t)\partial_{yz}f_n^*(t))y_s(t)|dt\Big)^p \\ &\qquad + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\theta^T |(D_\theta\alpha_n^*(t)\partial_{yz}f_n^*(t) + D_\theta\beta_n^*(t)\partial_{zz}f_n^*(t))y_s(t)|^2dt\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\bigg] \\ \le& C\bigg(\mathbb{E}\sup_{\theta\le t\le T}|D_\theta\alpha_n(t)|^q + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_\theta^T |D_\theta\beta_n(t)|^2dt\Big)^{\frac{q}{2}}\bigg)^{\frac{p}{q}}\big(\mathbb{E}\sup_{s\le t\le T}|y_s(t)|^{\frac{pq}{q-p}}\big)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \\ \le& C\Big(\sup_\theta \mathbb{E}|D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|^q\Big)^{\frac{p}{q}}|x_0|^p \\ \le& C|x_0|^p, \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where C depends only on q, L, T and M. Therefore, by the Clark-Ocone-Haussman formula,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T) \\ =&\mathbb{E}(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)) + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\Big(D_t\big(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)\big)\Big|\mathcal{F}_t\Big)dW(t) \\ =&\mathbb{E}(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\Big(D_t\big(\Phi(s)\Psi(T)\big)D_\theta\alpha_n(T) + \Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_tD_\theta\alpha_n(T)\Big|\mathcal{F}_t\Big)dW(t) \\ :=&\mathbb{E}(\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T)) + \int_0^T u_{\theta,s}(t)dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

Fixing p_0 with $2 < p_0 < q/2$, by **(A2)**, (4.11) and (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|u_{\theta,s}(t)|^{p_0} =& \mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\big(D_t(\Phi(s)\Psi(T))D_\theta\alpha_n(T) + \Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_tD_\theta\alpha_n(T)\big|\mathcal{F}_t\big)\Big|^{p_0} \\ \le& C\big(\mathbb{E}|D_t(\Phi(s)\Psi(T))D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|^{p_0} + \mathbb{E}|\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_tD_\theta\alpha_n(T)|^{p_0}\big) \\ \le& C\Big(\mathbb{E}|D_t(\Phi(s)\Psi(T))|^{\frac{p_0q}{q-p_0}}\Big)^{\frac{q-p_0}{q}}\Big(\mathbb{E}|D_\theta\alpha_n(T)|^q\Big)^{\frac{p_0}{q}} \\ &\quad + C\Big(\mathbb{E}|\Phi(s)\Psi(T)|^{\frac{p_0q}{q-p_0}}\Big)^{\frac{q-p_0}{q}}\Big(\mathbb{E}|D_tD_\theta\alpha_n(T)|^q\Big)^{\frac{p_0}{q}} \\ \le& C, \end{aligned}$$

where C depends only on q, L, T and M, which proves $\Phi(s)\Psi(T)D_\theta\alpha_n(T) \in M^{2,p_0} \subset M^{2,2}$. ∎

Now, we present the backward Euler method for Eq. (4.2). Suppose a partition $\pi : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T$ of $[0,T]$ with the mesh size $|\pi| = \max\limits_{0\le i\le N} |t_{i+1} - t_i|$. Then we denote $\Delta_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$ and $\Delta_i W = W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)$, for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$.

For simplicity, we assume that $\Delta_i = |\pi| = \frac{T}{N}$, for each $i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$. Our numerical scheme still works for general uniform partition of $[0,T]$ (i.e., there exists a constant K, such that $K|\pi| \le \Delta_j$, for any $j = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$).

Throughout this paper, we assume that $|\pi| \le 1$.

For the partition π, we introduce the implicit backward Euler method for Eq. (4.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1}) - \alpha_n^\pi(t) =& \Big(\Lambda\alpha_n^\pi(t_j) + f_n\Big(t_j, \alpha_n^\pi(t_j), \frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \beta_n^\pi(s)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big)\Big)(t_{j+1} - t)\\ &+ \int_t^{t_{j+1}} \beta_n^\pi(s)dW(s)\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

for any $j = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$ and $\alpha_n^\pi(t_N) = \langle\, q_T^\pi, \phi_i \,\rangle_{L^2(D)}$, where q_T^π is an approximation of q_T.

Remark 4.1. *Multiplying both sides of (4.22) by $\Delta_j W$, and then taking expectation, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \beta_n^\pi(s)ds = \mathbb{E}(\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})\,\Delta_j W).$$

Furthermore,

$$\alpha_n^\pi(t_j) = \Lambda_j^{-1}\Big[\mathbb{E}(\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}) - f_n\big(t_j, \alpha_n^\pi(t_j), \frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}(\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})\,\Delta_j W)\big)\Big],$$

where

$$\Lambda_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\lambda_1\Delta_j & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & 1+\lambda_2\Delta_j & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1+\lambda_n\Delta_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, this scheme involves the computation of conditional expectations with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}$. In this respect, the Monte-Carlo method is a popular choice. We refer the reader to the related work ([1, 3, 6]) for details.

The following lemma comes from [29, Lemma 5.4].

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $a_i \ge 0, b_i \ge 0, c > 0, a_i \le (1 + c\Delta_i)a_{i+1} + b_{i+1}$, for any $i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$. Then*

$$\max_{0\le i\le n} a_i \le e^{cT}(a_n + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i).$$

The following result is the convergence speed for the backward Euler method indicated in (4.22).

Theorem 4.1. *Let* (**A1**) *and* (**A2**) *hold, and suppose that* $\lambda_n^2|\pi| \leq 1$ *is true. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\max_{0\leq j\leq N}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\\ \leq& C\lambda_n^2\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^\pi(T)-\alpha_n(T)|^2+|\pi|\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{4.23}$$

where C *is a constant depending only on* λ_1, q, T, L *and* M.

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. Under the condition $\lambda_n^2|\pi|\leq 1$, by Lemma 4.2, we have, for any $t,s\in[0,T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n(s)|^2\leq C|t-s|(\lambda_n^2|t-s|+1),\tag{4.24}$$

where C is a constant depending only on q, T, L and M.

For any $j=0,1,\cdots,N-1$, taking $t=t_j$ in (4.2) and (4.22), one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda_j(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j))+\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}(\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t))dW(t)\\ =&(\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1}))+\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Lambda(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t))dt\\ &+\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Big(f_n\Big(t_j,\alpha_n^\pi(t_j),\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big)-f_n(t,\alpha_n(t),\beta_n(t))\Big)dt.\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&f_n\Big(t_j,\alpha_n^\pi(t_j),\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big)-f_n(t,\alpha_n(t),\beta_n(t))\\ \leq& L\Big(\sqrt{t-t_j}+|\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)-\beta_n(t)\Big|\Big)\\ \leq& L\Big(\sqrt{t-t_j}+|\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)-\alpha_n(t_j)|+|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|\\ &\quad+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)-\beta_n(t_j)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|+|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|\Big)\\ \leq& L\Big(\sqrt{t-t_j}+|\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)-\alpha_n(t_j)|+|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|+|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|\\ &\quad+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)-\beta_n(s)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n(s)-\beta_n(t_j)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|\Big),\end{aligned}$$

squaring both sides of (4.25) and then taking expectation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}|\Lambda_j(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^{\pi}(t_j))|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^{\pi}(t)|^2dt\\
\le&(1+7\Delta_j/\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^{\pi}(t_{j+1})|^2+(7+\varepsilon/\Delta_j)\Big\{\mathbb{E}\Big|\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Lambda(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t))dt\Big|^2\\
&+\frac{4L^2\Delta_j^3}{9}+L^2\Delta_j^2\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^{\pi}(t_j)-\alpha_n(t_j)|^2+L^2\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|dt\Big)^2\\
&+L^2\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|dt\Big)^2+L^2\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^{\pi}(t)-\beta_n(t)dt\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|^2\\
&+L^2\mathbb{E}\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n(t)-\beta_n(t_j)dt\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|^2\Big\}\\
\le&(1+7\Delta_j/\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^{\pi}(t_{j+1})|^2\\
&+(7+\varepsilon/\Delta_j)\Big\{(\lambda_n^2\Delta_j+L^2\Delta_j)\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|^2dt+\frac{4L^2\Delta_j^3}{9}\\
&+L^2\Delta_j^2\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^{\pi}(t_j)-\alpha_n(t_j)|^2+2L^2\Delta_j\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|^2dt\\
&+L^2\Delta_j\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n^{\pi}(t)-\beta_n(t)|^2dt\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.26}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big(1+\lambda_1\Delta_j-\Big(7+\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta_j}\Big)L^2\Delta_j^2\Big)\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^{\pi}(t_j)|^2\\
&\qquad+\Big(1-\Big(7+\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta_j}\Big)L^2\Delta_j\Big)\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^{\pi}(t)|^2dt\\
\le&(1+7\Delta_j/\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^{\pi}(t_{j+1})|^2\\
&+(7+\varepsilon/\Delta_j)\Big\{(\lambda_n^2\Delta_j+L^2\Delta_j)\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|^2dt\\
&+\frac{4L^2\Delta_j^3}{9}+2L^2\Delta_j\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|^2dt\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.27}
$$

Set $\varepsilon=\dfrac{\lambda_1-7L^2|\pi|}{L^2}$. Then

$$
1-\Big(7+\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta_j}\Big)L^2\Delta_j^2\ge1-\lambda_1\Delta_j\ge\frac{1}{2},\ 1+\lambda_1\Delta_j-\Big(7+\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta_j}\Big)L^2\Delta_j^2\ge1,
$$

$$
1+7\Delta_j/\varepsilon=1+\frac{7L^2\Delta_j}{\lambda_1-7L^2|\pi|},\ 7+\varepsilon/\Delta_j=7+\frac{\lambda_1-7L^2|\pi|}{L^2\Delta_j}.
$$

By (4.27), we can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\\ \leq&\big(1+\frac{7L^2\Delta_j}{\lambda_1-7L^2|\pi|}\big)\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})|^2+\big(7+\frac{\lambda_1-7L^2|\pi|}{L^2\Delta_j}\big)\Big\{\frac{4L^2\Delta_j^3}{9}+\\ &(\lambda_n^2\Delta_j+L^2\Delta_j)\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|^2dt+2L^2\Delta_j\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|^2dt\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Choose $|\pi|$ sufficiently small such that $\lambda_1-7L^2|\pi|>\lambda_1/2$. By Lemmas 4.1-4.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\\ \leq&(1+C_1\Delta_j)\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})|^2\\ &+\frac{C_2}{\Delta_j}\Big\{\frac{4L^2\Delta_j^3}{9}+C(\lambda_n^2\Delta_j^3+3L^2\Delta_j^3)(1+\lambda_n^2|\pi|)\Big\}\\ \leq&(1+C_1\Delta_j)\Big\{\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_{j+2}}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\Big\}\\ &+C_2(\lambda_n^2+L^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\Delta_j^2,\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

where C_1 and C_2 depend on λ_1, q, L, T and M. Therefore, by Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{0\leq j\leq N-2}\Big\{\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\Big\}\\ \leq&e^{C_1T}\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{N-1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{N-1})|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\\ &+C(L^2+\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\sum_{j=0}^{N-2}\Delta_j^2\Big)\\ \leq&e^{C_1T}\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{N-1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{N-1})|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt+C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_i^4|\pi|)|\pi|\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.29}$$

Using (4.25) once more, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{N-1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{N-1})|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\\ \leq&C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^\pi(T)-\alpha_n(T)|^2+|\pi|\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

Combining (4.29) and (4.30), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{0\leq j\leq N-1}\mathbb{E}\Big\{\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\Big\}\\ \leq&C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^\pi(T)-\alpha_n(T)|^2+|\pi|\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Now, by (4.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=0}^{N-2}\Big\{\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)|^2+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\Big\}\\ \le&\sum_{j=0}^{N-2}\Big\{(1+C_1\Delta_j)\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})|^2+C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\Delta_j^2\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt=\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^{t_{N-1}}+\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N}\Big)|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\\ \le&\sum_{j=0}^{N-2}2C_1\Delta_j\mathbb{E}(\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1}))^2+(2+2C_1\Delta_{N-2})\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_{N-1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{N-1})|^2\\ &-2\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_0)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_0)|^2+C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\sum_{j=0}^{N-2}\Delta_j^2+\mathbb{E}\int_{t_{N-1}}^{t_N}|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt\\ \le&C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^\pi(T)-\alpha_n(T)|^2+|\pi|\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

Step 2. Similar to (4.26), for any $j=0,1,\cdots,N-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\Lambda_j(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j))|=|\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_j(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j))\,\big|\,\mathcal{F}_{t_j})|\\ \le&\mathbb{E}\Bigg(|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})|+\Big|\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Lambda(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t))dt\Big|\\ &+L\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Bigg(\sqrt{t-t_j}+|\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)-\alpha_n(t_j)|+|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|\\ &+|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)-\beta_n(s)ds\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\\ &\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n(s)-\beta_n(t_j)ds\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|\Bigg)dt\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Bigg)\\ \le&\mathbb{E}\Bigg(|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})|+\Big|\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Lambda(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t))dt\Big|\\ &+L\Delta_j|\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)-\alpha_n(t_j)|+L\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Bigg(\sqrt{t-t_j}+|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|\\ &+|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)-\beta_n(s)ds\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\\ &\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n(s)-\beta_n(t_j)ds\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|\Bigg)dt\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)| \\
\le&\frac{1}{1-L\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\bigg(|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})| + \Big|\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Lambda(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t))dt\Big| \\
&+L\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\bigg(\sqrt{t-t_j}+|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|+|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)| \\
&+\Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)-\beta_n(s)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big| + \Big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n(s)-\beta_n(t_j)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|\bigg)dt\bigg|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\bigg) \\
\le&\frac{1}{1-L|\pi|}\mathbb{E}\bigg(|\alpha_n(t_{j+1})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+1})|+b_j\bigg|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\bigg) \\
\le&\Big(\frac{1}{1-L|\pi|}\Big)^2\mathbb{E}\big(|\alpha_n(t_{j+2})-\alpha_n^\pi(t_{j+2})|\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\big)+\Big(\frac{1}{1-L|\pi|}\Big)^2\mathbb{E}\big(b_{j+1}\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\big)+\frac{1}{1-L|\pi|}\mathbb{E}\big(b_j\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\big) \\
\le&\cdots \\
\le&\Big(\frac{1}{1-L|\pi|}\Big)^{N-j}\mathbb{E}\big(|\alpha_n(t_N)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_N)|\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\big)+\sum_{k=1}^{N-j}\Big(\frac{1}{1-L|\pi|}\Big)^k\mathbb{E}\big(b_{j+k-1}\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\big) \\
\le&\Big(\frac{1}{1-L|\pi|}\Big)^{N}\mathbb{E}\big(|\alpha_n(t_N)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_N)|\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\big)+\Big(\frac{1}{1-L|\pi|}\Big)^N\mathbb{E}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}b_k\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big) \\
\le&C_3\bigg\{\mathbb{E}\big(|\alpha_n(t_N)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_N)|\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\big)+\mathbb{E}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}b_k\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\bigg\},
\end{aligned}$$

where C_3 is a constant depending only on T, L; and

$$\begin{aligned}
b_j=&\big|\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Lambda(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t))dt\big|+L\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Big(\sqrt{t-t_j}+|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|+|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)| \\
&+\big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)-\beta_n(s)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\big|+\big|\frac{1}{\Delta_j}\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n(s)-\beta_n(t_j)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\big|\Big)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\max_{0\le j\le N}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)|^2\le C\bigg\{\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n(t_N)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_N)|^2+\mathbb{E}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}b_k\Big)^2\bigg\}. \tag{4.32}$$

For $\mathbb{E}\big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}b_k\big)^2$, we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}b_k\Big)^2\le&\mathbb{E}\bigg(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\Big(\Big|\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\Lambda(\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t))dt\Big| \\
&+L\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\big(\sqrt{t-t_j}+|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|+|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|\big)dt \\
&+\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n^\pi(s)-\beta_n(s)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|+\Big|\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\beta_n(s)-\beta_n(t_j)ds\big|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}\Big)\Big|\Big)\bigg)^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\le & 6N\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\Big((\lambda_n^2\Delta_j+L^2\Delta_j)\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n(t)|^2dt \\
& +2L^2\Delta_j\mathbb{E}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}|\beta_n(t_j)-\beta_n(t)|^2dt+L^2\Delta_j^3\Big)+6\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt \\
\le & C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\Delta_j^2+6\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|\beta_n(t)-\beta_n^\pi(t)|^2dt \\
\le & C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^\pi(T)-\alpha_n(T)|^2+|\pi|\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (4.32), yields that

$$
\mathbb{E}\max_{0\le j\le N}|\alpha_n(t_j)-\alpha_n^\pi(t_j)|^2\le C(\lambda_n^2+\lambda_n^4|\pi|)\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^\pi(T)-\alpha_n(T)|^2+|\pi|\Big). \tag{4.33}
$$

From the assumption, $\lambda_n^2|\pi|\le 1$ holds. The above inequality, together with (4.31) and (4.33), yields (4.23). This completes the proof. ∎

Finally, set

$$
q_n^\pi(\cdot)=\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_{n,i}^\pi(\cdot)\phi_i,\quad r_n^\pi(\cdot)=\sum_{i=1}^n\beta_{n,i}^\pi(\cdot)\phi_i.
$$

From Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, we obtain the following error estimate for our Galerkin numerical scheme.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* (**A1**) *and* (**A2**) *hold, and suppose that* $\lambda_n^2|\pi|\le 1$ *is true. Then*

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\max_{0\le j\le N}\|q(t_j)-q_n^\pi(t_j)\|_{L^2(D)}^2+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\|r(t)-r_n^\pi(t)\|_{L^2(D)}^2dt \\
\le & C\lambda_n^2\Big(\mathbb{E}|\alpha_n^\pi(T)-\alpha_n(T)|^2+|\pi|\Big)+\frac{C}{\lambda_{n+1}}\Big[\|q(T)\|^2_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega;H_0^1(D))}+\|f\|^2_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega\times(0,T);H_0^1(D))}\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Here C depends only on q, T, L, M and D, and $\alpha_n^\pi(T)=\langle q_T^\pi,\phi_i\rangle_{L^2(D)}$, where q_T^π is an approximation of q_T.

Remark 4.2. *Generally speaking, one of the main difficulties in constructing a numerical scheme for BSDEs is to guarantee the regularity of the second part of the solution. When the terminal condition is a function of some forward diffusion, Ma and Zhang [18] obtained the L^2-regularity of the solution's second component, which is the key point of Euler method; when terminal condition has no special form, Hu et al. [10] also obtained the L^2-regularity under suitable conditions in terms of Malliavin calculus. In this paper, following some idea from [10], we impose assumptions* (**A1**) *and* (**A2**).

In the aforementioned numerical scheme, we suppose that f in Eq. (1.1) *is a non-random function. As a matter of fact, we can deal with the random case under suitable assumptions on f. The reader can refer to [10, Theorems 2.3 and 2.6] for more details.*

Remark 4.3. *In [7], a Galerkin algorithm for the following forward parabolic stochastic equation is considered,*

$$\begin{cases} dU(t) = (AU(t) + f(U(t)))dt + g(U(t))dW(t), \quad \text{in } [0,T], \\ U(0) = U_0. \end{cases} \tag{4.34}$$

Under suitable assumption of f, g and U_0, the discretisation error is bounded by

$$C\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} + \lambda_n^{2([r+1/2]+1)}|\pi|^{2r}\Big),$$

where $2r$ is a positive integer, $[x]$ is the integer part of the real number x and C is a constant depending only on U_0, f, g and T. To some extent our result is consistent with that of the forward equations.

Acknowledgement

The author acknowledges gratefully Professor Xu Zhang for his valuable suggestions during this work.

References

[1] C. Bender and R. Denk, *A forward scheme for backward SDEs, Stochastic Processes Appl.,* **117** (2007), 1793-1812.

[2] P. Briand and C. Labart, *Simulation of BSDEs by Wiener chaos expansion, Ann. Appl. Probab.,* **24** (2014), 1129-1171.

[3] B. Bouchard and N. Touzi, *Discrete-time approximation and Monte-Carlo simulation of backward stochastic differential equations, Stochastic Processes Appl.,* **111** (2004), 175-206.

[4] J. Douglas, J. Ma and P. Protter, *Numerical methods for forward backward stochastic differential equations, Ann. Appl. Probab.,* **6** (1996), 940-968.

[5] M. Fuhrman and Y. Hu, *Infinite horizon BSDEs in infinite dimensions with continuous drivers and applications, Evol. Equ.,* **6** (2006), 459-486.

[6] E. Gobet, J.-P. Lemor and X. Warin, *A regression-based Monte-Carlo method to solve backward stochastic differential equations, Ann. Appl. Prob.,* **15** (2005), 2172-2202.

[7] W. Grecksch and P.E. Kloeden, *Time-discretised Galerkin approximations of parabolic stochastic PDEs, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.,* **54** (1996), 79-85.

[8] I. Gyöngy, *Lattice approximations for stochastic quasi-linear parabolic partial differential equations driven by space-time white noise. I., Potential Anal.,* **9** (1998), 1-25.

[9] Y. Hou, W. Luo, B. Rozovskii and H.M. Zhou, *Wiener chaos expansions and numerical solutions of randomly forced equations of fluid mechanics, J. Comput. Phys.,* **216** (2006), 687-706.

[10] Y. Hu, D. Nualart and X. Song, *Malliavin calculus for backward stochastic differential equations and application to numerical solutions, Ann. Appl. Probab.,* **21** (2011), 2379-2423.

[11] Y. Hu and S. Peng, *Maximum principle for semilinear stochastic evolution control systems, Stochastics Stochastics Rep.,* **33** (1990), 159-180.

[12] Y. Hu and S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward semilinear stochastic evolution equation, Stoch. Anal. Appl.,* **9** (1991), 445-449.

[13] A. Jentzen and P.E. Kloeden, *The numerical approximation of stochastic partial differential equations, Milan J. Math.,* **77** (2009), 205-244.

[14] S.V. Lototsky, R. Mikulevicius and L. Rozovskii, *Nonlinear filtering revisited: a spectral approach, SIAM J. Control Optim.,* **35** (1997), 435-461.

[15] Q. Lü and X. Zhang, General Pontryagin-Type Stochastic Maximum Principle and Backward Stochastic Evolution Equations in Infinite Dimensions, Springer, New York, 2014.

[16] J. Ma, P. Protter, J. San Martin and S. Torres, *Numerical method for backward stochastic differential equations, Ann. Appl. Probab.,* **12** (2002), 302-316.

[17] J. Ma, P. Protter and J. Yong, *Solving forward-backward stochastic differential equations explicitly–a four step scheme, Probab. Theory Related Fields,* **98** (1994), 339-359.

[18] J. Ma and J. Zhang, *Path regularity for solutions fo backward stochastic differential equations, Probab. Theory Related Fields,* **122** (2002), 163-190.

[19] G.N. Milstein and M.V. Tretyakov, *Numerical algorithms for forward-backward stochastic differential equations, SIAM J. Sci. Comput.,* **28** (2006), 561-582.

[20] E. Pardoux, *Stochastic partial differential equations and filtering of diffusion processes, Stochastics,* **3** (1979), 127-167.

[21] T. Shardlow, *Numerical methods for stochastic parabolic PDEs, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.,* **20** (1999), 121-145.

[22] G. Tessitore, *Existence, uniqueness and space regularity of the adapted solutions of a backward SPDE, Stoch. Anal. Appl.,* **14** (1996), 461-486.

[23] J. B. Walsh, *Finite element methods for parabolic stochastic PDE's, Potential Anal.,* **23** (2005), 1-43.

[24] P. Wang and X. Zhang, *Numerical solutions of backward stochastic differential equations: a finite transposition method, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris,* **349** (2011), 901-903.

[25] Y. Wang, *Transposition Solutions of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Numerical Schemes*, Ph.D thesis, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, 2013.

[26] Y. Yan, *Semidiscrete Galerkin approximation for a linear stochastic parabolic partial differential equation driven by an additive noise, BIT,* **44** (2004), 829-847.

[27] A. Yannacopoulos, N. Frangos and I. Karatzas, *Wiener chaos solutions for linear backward stochastic evolution equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal.,* **43** (2011), 68-113.

[28] J. Yong, X. Y. Zhou, *Stochastic Controls: Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations,* Springer, New York, 1999.

[29] J. Zhang, *A Numerical Scheme for BSDEs, Ann. Appl. Prob.,* **14** (2004), 459-488.

[30] X. Y. Zhou, *A duality analysis on stochastic partial differential equations, J. Funct. Anal.,* **103** (1992), 275-293.